

MiniReview

Lifestyle alternatives for rhizobia: mutualism, parasitism, and forgoing symbiosis

R. Ford Denison^{*}, E. Toby Kiers

Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California at Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Received 10 May 2004; received in revised form 8 July 2004; accepted 8 July 2004

First published online 21 July 2004

Abstract

Strains of rhizobia within a single species can have three different genetically determined strategies. Mutualistic rhizobia provide their legume hosts with nitrogen. Parasitic rhizobia infect legumes, but fix little or no nitrogen. Nonsymbiotic strains are unable to infect legumes at all. Why have rhizobium strains with one of these three strategies not displaced the others? A symbiotic (mutualistic or parasitic) rhizobium that succeeds in founding a nodule may produce many millions of descendants. The chances of success can be so low, however, that nonsymbiotic rhizobia can have greater reproductive success. Legume sanctions against nodules that fix little or no nitrogen favor more mutualistic strains, but parasitic strains that use plant resources only for their own reproduction may do well when they share nodules with mutualistic strains.

© 2004 Federation of European Microbiological Societies Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Rhizobium; Ineffective; Evolution; Sanction; Nitrogen-fixation; Symbiosis; Mutualism; Parasitism

1. Introduction

Rhizobia are soil bacteria best known for their symbiosis with legume plants. They are classified in various genera, including *Rhizobium*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Sinorhizobium*. Rhizobia typically receive carbon fixed by the plant, while the plant receives nitrogen fixed by the rhizobia. Molecular aspects of infection of plant roots by rhizobia, and subsequent N₂ fixation by the bacteroid form of rhizobia inside root nodules, have received considerable attention. Our focus, however, is on why rhizobia that lack genes for infecting legumes are so common in the rhizosphere of some suitable host legumes [1]. Rhizobia that infect legumes but then fix little or no N₂ are also common in some soils [2]. Why have rhizobium strains that do fix N₂ in symbiosis not consistently

outcompeted and displaced those of the same species that do not?

Infecting legumes and fixing N₂ in symbiosis is not the only viable life-history strategy for rhizobia, as proved by the numerical abundance of strains with alternative strategies. The strategies of bacteria and plants, however sophisticated, are genetically programmed, rather than learned or chosen. Therefore, the strategy of an individual rhizobium changes only through mutation. For example, an *R. leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli* strain with the genetic capacity to fix N₂ in symbiosis is still considered a symbiotic strain when it is between hosts, in contrast to nonsymbiotic *R. leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli* strains, which are unable to infect legumes [3].

Rhizobia genetically programmed to infect legume plants but then to fix little or no N₂ (perhaps due to a mutation in a nitrogenase gene) are less familiar than mutualistic N₂ fixers, but these “ineffective” strains are widespread [2,4,5]. Different strains of rhizobia infecting

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-530-752-0988/9688; fax: +1-530-752-4361.

E-mail address: rfdenison@ucdavis.edu (R.F. Denison).